Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/590,982	MIMURA, IKUO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GERARD T. HIGGINS	1794	

	Ladimire	Artonic	
	GERARD T. HIGGINS	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The approprinal states approprimally set in the final Office	te extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
· · <u> </u>	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	200100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reju	ected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted in a separate,	uniely filed afficilidifie	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Mark Duthkasky/			
/Mark Ruthkosky/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	Gerard T. Higgins /G. T. H./		
Capartiony I dione Examinor, Art Offic 1704	/G. I. H./ Examiner Art Unit 1794		

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 1-3 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and the rejection of claims 1-3 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.